



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/925,881	08/06/2001	Brian K. Balzum	1001.1403101	6196	
28075	7590 10/28/20	04	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N, SEAGER & TU	NGUYE	NGUYEN, VI X		
SUITE 800	LET AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55403-24	20	3731		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9		
-		09/925,881	BALZUM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor X Nguyen	3731			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address			
A SHOR THE M/ - Extension after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replained for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a. cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed  I days will be considered timely,  I from the mailing date of this communicatio  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on,		
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	<u>uly 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ T	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
• —	ince this application is in condition for allowallosed in accordance with the practice under the second of the condition in the practice under the second of the conditions are second or the second of the conditions are second or the second of the second of the conditions are second or the second of the second			S		
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5) ☐ C 6) ☑ C 7) ☐ C	claim(s) <u>25 and 30-34</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage claim(s) is/are allowed.  claim(s) <u>25 and 30-34</u> is/are rejected.  claim(s) is/are objected to.  claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application	n Papers					
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the E			(d).		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s			(DTO 442)			
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/925,881

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 25, 30-31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan (U.S. 5,234,002).

2. Chan discloses in figure 2, col. 3, lines 1-35 and lines 50-65, a threaded connection system is suitable for use in connecting extension wires and guidewire having the limitations of claims 25 and 34, including: a first wire (10) includes a body member. A female threaded member (18) disposes within the first end of the body member. A second wire (11) includes a second body member (17). An engagement structure (15) adapts to threadingly engaging the female thread of the first wire. The engagement structure is a male thread disposed about the body member of the second wire; and wherein a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform or capable of altering the shape by pressure when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire (as best seen in fig. 2). Regarding the intended use of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In the instant case, the male thread of Chan would have been capable of performing the use as claimed. In a

Application/Control Number: 09/925,881

Art Unit: 3731

claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Regarding claims 30-31, Chan discloses in figure 2, col. 3, lines 1-35 and lines 50-65, a 3. threaded connection system is suitable for use in connecting extension wires and guidewire having the limitations of claims 25 and 34, including: a first wire (10) includes a body member. A female threaded member (18) disposes within the first end of the body member. A second wire (11) includes a second body member (17). An engagement structure (15) adapts to threadingly engaging the female thread of the first wire. The engagement structure is a male thread disposed about the body member of the second wire; and wherein a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to be more deformable or capable of altering the shape by pressure when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire. Furthermore, the portion of the male thread includes two portions that are different from one another (see col. 3, lines 50-65). Regarding the intended use of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to be more deformable, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In the instant case, the male thread of Chan would have been capable of performing the use as claimed. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan. (U.S.5,234,002).

Regarding claims 32-33, Chan discloses substantially limitations as recited in the claims. The system of Chan could be made a second portion of the male thread that comprises a different thread pitch or a different thread size. It has been held that changes in size only require routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the thread of chan device with a different thread pitch and a different thread size in order to have an effectively grip in connecting a guidewire and an extension wire.

# Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25, 30 and 34 have been considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 25, the examiner disagrees with applicant's remarks that the Chan reference fails to disclose that the guide wire has female thread, where the extension wire includes an engagement structure and where a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire. As the examiner has pointed out above, Chan discloses in figure 2, col. 3, lines 1-35

Application/Control Number: 09/925,881

Art Unit: 3731

and lines 50-65, a threaded connection system is suitable for use in connecting extension wires and guidewire having the limitations including: a first wire (10) includes a body member. A female threaded member (18) disposes within the first end of the body member. A second wire (11) includes a second body member (17). An engagement structure (15) adapts to threadingly engaging the female thread of the first wire. The engagement structure is a male thread disposed about the body member of the second wire; and wherein a portion of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform or capable of altering the shape by pressure when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire (as best seen in fig. 2). Regarding the intended use of the male thread of the first wire is adapted to deform, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In the instant case, the male thread of Chan would have been capable of performing the use as claimed. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, claim 25 of the invention is not defined over the Chan reference.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3731

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

 $V_n \int V$ 10/25/2004

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Juhan W. Woo

Page 6